

Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program

# Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program

Bugcrowd On-Demand program results

Report created on December 17, 2020

## bugcrowd

Prepared by

Michael Perry, Security Solutions Consultant michael.perry@bugcrowd.com

## Table of contents

1	Executive summary	3
2	Reporting and methodology	4
	Background	4
3	Targets and scope	5
	Scope	5
4	Findings summary	6
	Findings by severity	6
	Risk and priority key	7
	Findings table	8
5	Vulnerability details	9
6	Appendix	16
	Submissions over time	16
	Submissions signal	16
	Bug types overview	18
7	Closing statement	19

**Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program** engaged Bugcrowd, Inc. to perform an On-Demand Bounty Program, commonly known as a crowd-sourced penetration test.

An On-Demand Bounty Program is a cutting-edge approach to an application assessment or penetration test. Traditional penetration tests use only one or two personnel to test an entire scope of work, while an On-Demand Bounty leverages a crowd of security researchers. This increases the probability of discovering esoteric issues that automated testing cannot find and that traditional vulnerability assessments may miss in the same testing period.

The purpose of this program was to identify security vulnerabilities in the targets listed in the targets and scope section. Once identified, each vulnerability was rated for technical impact defined in the findings summary section of the report.

Testing for **Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program's** targets occurred during the period of: **12/08/2020 – 12/15/2020**.

For this On-Demand Program, **83** researchers were invited to participate; **27** accepted the invitation. Submissions were received from **4** unique researchers.

The continuation of this document summarizes the findings, analysis, and recommendations from the On-Demand Bounty Program performed by Bugcrowd for **Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program**.

This report is just a summary of the information available.

All details of the program's findings comments, code, and any researcher provided remediation information can be found in the Bugcrowd <u>Crowdcontrol</u> platform.

## **Reporting and methodology**

#### Background

The strength of crowdsourced testing lies in multiple researchers, the pay-for-results model, and the varied methodologies that the researchers implement. To this end, researchers are encouraged to use their own individual methodologies on Bugcrowd On-Demand programs.

The workflow of every penetration test can be divided into the following four phases:



Bugcrowd researchers who perform web application testing and vulnerability assessment usually subscribe to a variety of methodologies following the highlighted workflow, including the following:















## **Targets and scope**

#### Scope

Prior to the On-Demand program launching, Bugcrowd worked with Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program to define the Rules of Engagement, commonly known as the program brief, which includes the scope of work. The following targets were considered explicitly in scope for testing:

DIIA Android Application	
DIIA iOS Application	
DIIA API	

All details of the program scope and full program brief can be reviewed in the <u>Program Brief</u>.

## bugcrowd

## **Findings summary**

## Findings by severity

The following chart shows all valid assessment findings from the program by technical severity.



#### **Risk and priority key**

The following key is used to explain how Bugcrowd rates valid vulnerability submissions and their technical severity. As a trusted advisor Bugcrowd also provides common "next steps" for program owners per severity category.

#### **TECHNICAL SEVERITY**

#### Critical

Critical severity submissions (also known as "P1" or "Priority 1") are submissions that are escalated to **Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program** as soon as they are validated. These issues warrant the highest security consideration and should be addressed immediately. Commonly, submissions marked as Critical can cause financial theft, unavailability of services, large-scale account compromise, etc.

#### High

High severity submissions (also known as "P2" or "Priority 2") are vulnerability submissions that should be slated for fix in the very near future. These issues still warrant prudent consideration but are often not availability or "breach level" submissions. Commonly, submissions marked as High can cause account compromise (with user interaction), sensitive information leakage, etc.

#### Medium

Medium severity submissions (also known as "P3" or "Priority 3") are vulnerability submissions that should be slated for fix in the major release cycle. These vulnerabilities can commonly impact single users but require user interaction to trigger or only disclose moderately sensitive information.

#### Low

Low severity submissions (also known as "P4" or "Priority 4") are vulnerability submissions that should be considered for fix within the next six months. These vulnerabilities represent the least danger to confidentiality, integrity, and availability.

#### Informational

Informational submissions (also known as "P5" or "Priority 5") are vulnerability submissions that are valid but out-of-scope or are "won't fix" issues, such as best practices.



## EXAMPLE VULNERABILITY TYPES

- Remote Code Execution
- Vertical Authentication Bypass
- XML External Entities Injection
- SQL Injection
- Insecure Direct Object Reference for a critical function
- Lateral authentication bypass
- Stored Cross-Site Scripting
- Cross-Site Request Forgery for a critical function
- Insecure Direct Object Reference for an important function
- Internal Server-Side Request Forgery
- Reflected Cross-Site Scripting with limited impact
- Cross-Site Request Forgery for an important function
- Insecure Direct Object Reference for an unimportant function
- Cross-Site Scripting with limited impact
- Cross-Site Request Forgery for an unimportant function
- External Server-Side Request Forgery
- Lack of code obfuscation
- Autocomplete enabled
- Non-exploitable SSL issues

Bugcrowd's Vulnerability Rating Taxonomy

More detailed information regarding our vulnerability classification can be found at: https://bugcrowd.com/vrt

## Findings table

The following table lists all valid assessment findings from the program:

Title	VRT	Duplicates	Priority	State	Link
IDOR via license plate at endpoint /ap i/v1/documents/vehicle-insurance	Broken Access Control (BAC)	-	<b>P4</b>	TRIAGED	ତ
App Crash on Malformed QR Code R ead	Application- Level Denial-of- Service (DoS)	-	P5	TRIAGED	I

## Vulnerability details

This section outlines the full submission data for each valid finding. These findings are unaltered from their original state from the researcher. Due to the competitive nature and gamification of crowd-sourced security assessments, some typos or grammar errors may occur. Each finding is headlined with the submission title and priority followed by more detailed vulnerability information based on the type of finding submitted. Several other fields may appear based on the context and VRT classification selected by a researcher.

Such details may include the following:

#### **Description:**

This section appears above the "Reference Number" as a free form area for the researcher to describe the context of the submission.

#### Reference number:

Submission unique Identifier visible to researchers.

#### VRT:

The <u>Vulnerability Rating Taxonomy</u> is the baseline guide used for classifying technical severity.

#### **Bug URL:**

This is the full URL/URI of where the vulnerability took place.

#### Extra info:

A free form area for the researcher to add additional information to the submission.

#### HTTP request:

This is a text block with the full HTTP(S) request that triggered the vulnerability, including all its associated headers and cookie information.

#### Additional details:

Several other fields may appear based on the context and VRT classification selected by a researcher. Bugcrowd ASE curated proof of concepts, comments to the researcher or Bugcrowd (public or private), assignees, attachments, and state change metadata is available in the <u>Crowdcontrol Platform</u>.

## Type of Vulnerability

## **IDOR**

## Additional Information to Properly describe impact

- 1. License Plate contains 8 characters (2 letters + 4 numbers + 2 letters).
- 2. 2 letters region code of Ukraine.
- 3. 4 numbers random numeric.
- 4. 2 letters serial number.

So, it's not too hard to enumerate all license plates in DB.

## Steps to Reproduce

- 1. Download script exploit-idor.py (this script use python 3).
- 2. Replace in this script authentication data (user\_id and token)
- Install package requests (<u>https://pypi.org/project/requests/</u>): pip3 install requests (if not installed)
- 4. Run this script with python3 exploit-idor.py.
- 5. When data will be loaded you will see output with all license plates from demo-server (in this case data is almost same).

## PoC (first 6 users data)

```
KA7001AX Data:
[{'docStatus': 200, 'licensePlate': 'KA7001AX', 'vin': 'l', 'vehicleLicen
seId': 'l', 'serialNumber': '140457001', 'status': 'Active', 'expirationD
ate': '11.12.2020', 'name': 'XXXX', 'address': 'XXXX', 'phone': 'XXXX', '
email': 'xxxx', 'website': 'xxxx', 'validOn': '11.12.2020'}]
KA7002AX Data:
[{'docStatus': 200, 'licensePlate': 'KA7002AX', 'vin': 'l', 'vehicleLicen
seId': 'l', 'serialNumber': '140457002', 'status': 'Active', 'expirationD
ate': '11.12.2020', 'name': 'XXXX', 'address': 'XXXX', 'phone': 'XXXX', '
email': 'xxxx', 'website': 'xxxx', 'validOn': '11.12.2020'}]
```

P4

```
KA7003AX Data:
[{'docStatus': 200, 'licensePlate': 'KA7003AX', 'vin': '1', 'vehicleLicen
seId': '1', 'serialNumber': '140457003', 'status': 'Active', 'expirationD
ate': '11.12.2020', 'name': 'XXXX', 'address': 'XXXX', 'phone': 'XXXX', '
email': 'xxxx', 'website': 'xxxx', 'validOn': '11.12.2020'}]
KA7004AX Data:
[{'docStatus': 200, 'licensePlate': 'KA7004AX', 'vin': '1', 'vehicleLicen
seId': '1', 'serialNumber': '140457004', 'status': 'Active', 'expirationD
ate': '11.12.2020', 'name': 'XXXX', 'address': 'XXXX', 'phone': 'XXXX', '
email': 'xxxx', 'website': 'xxxx', 'validOn': '11.12.2020'}]
KA7005AX Data:
[{'docStatus': 200, 'licensePlate': 'KA7005AX', 'vin': '1', 'vehicleLicen
seId': '1', 'serialNumber': '140457005', 'status': 'Active', 'expirationD
ate': '11.12.2020', 'name': 'XXXX', 'address': 'XXXX', 'phone': 'XXXX', '
email': 'xxxx', 'website': 'xxxx', 'validOn': '11.12.2020'}]
KA7006AX Data:
[{'docStatus': 200, 'licensePlate': 'KA7006AX', 'vin': '1', 'vehicleLicen
seId': '1', 'serialNumber': '140457006', 'status': 'Active', 'expirationD
ate': '11.12.2020', 'name': 'XXXX', 'address': 'XXXX', 'phone': 'XXXX', '
email': 'xxxx', 'website': 'xxxx', 'validOn': '11.12.2020'}]
```

## Why

vin and vehicleLicenseId validated but not used for query. Only license plate is important, also, server don't validate access to this information.

## Impact

Malicious user can steal sensitive information of other users like the following:name, address, phone, email, website, etc.

## Mitigation

Validate if provided license plate belongs to current user.

Reference number:

c5e2b4db29198b1aeea4db550a9b11f025368dde99008f5621ffc4a7f44f502d

## VRT:

Broken Access Control (BAC) > Insecure Direct Object References (IDOR)

## Bug URL:

https://diia2sb.diia.gov.ua/api/v1/documents/vehicle-insurance

Extra info:

HTTP request:

## Type of Vulnerability

Improper Error Handling causing App Crash

## Steps to Reproduce

- 1. Sign in to Diia app.
- 2. Open QR code reader.
- 3. Read QR code from section  $\ensuremath{\texttt{QR}}$  code
- 4. App crashed.

## QR code

Embedded image redacted
Title: crashQR.png

## Description

## Part of Crash log

Thre	ead O	name:	Dispatch	queue:	com.	apple.ma	in-thread			
Thread 0 Crashed:										
0	Diia					0x000000	0104a8f234	0x1049c0000	+	8484
36										
1	Diia					0x000000	0104a8f094	0x1049c0000	+	8480
20										
2	Diia					0x000000	01049ebc08	0x1049c0000	+	1792
08										
3	Diia					0x000000	0104b64d50	0x1049c0000	+	1723
728										
4	Diia					0x000000	0104b66170	0x1049c0000	+	1728
880										
5	Diia					0x000000	0104a7aaa8	0x1049c0000	+	7645

Bugcrowd On-Demand Program Results | Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program

P5

84

```
6
   libdispatch.dylib
                                    0x0000001b0155298 dispatch call blo
ck and release + 24
7
   libdispatch.dylib
                                    0x0000001b0156280 dispatch client c
allout + 16
    libdispatch.dylib
                                    0x0000001b01385b0 dispatch main que
8
ue callback 4CF$VARIANT$armv81 + 856
  CoreFoundation
9
                                    0x0000001b049d5d0 CFRUNLOOP IS SER
VICING THE MAIN DISPATCH QUEUE + 12
10 CoreFoundation
                                    0x0000001b0497a78 CFRunLoopRun + 2
480
11 CoreFoundation
                                    0x0000001b0496b90 CFRunLoopRunSpecif
ic + 572
12 GraphicsServices
                                    0x0000001c67b9598 GSEventRunModal +
160
13 UIKitCore
                                    0x0000001b2d80638 - [UIApplication r
un] + 1052
14 UIKitCore
                                    0x0000001b2d85bb8 UIApplicationMain
+ 164
15 Diia
                                    0 \times 0000001049 \times 761 \times 1049 \times 0000 + 3023
6
16 libdyld.dylib
                                    0x0000001b0175588 start + 4
```

Pseudo-C recovered by Ghidra on offset 0x10000000 + 848436 (0x1000cf234):

```
/* WARNING: Treating indirect jump as call */
UNRECOVERED_JUMPTABLE_00 = (code *)SoftwareBreakpoint(1,0x1000cf238);
// ???
   (*UNRECOVERED_JUMPTABLE_00)(); // ???
   return;
}
```

#### **Description:**

Looks like parse of QR code crashed on invalid handling of path components.

Crash occurs, if parser can not find required string identifiers in path and count of path components is incorrect.

#### Additional information:

- 1. <u>components (separatedBy:)</u> -- this function called to split path by 0x2f (/)
- 2. lVar4 = NSArray instance.
- 3. lVar4 + 0x10 = NSArray.count property (possibly).

## Impact

Denial of Service.

App crashes and malicious user can use this for own purposes (as example, for social engineering).

Reference number:

10036f47783ba292943efd5eb0f63577e6a99694123e27da88ab2d7cf8ffd0d1

VRT:

Application-Level Denial-of-Service (DoS) > App Crash

Bug URL:

Extra info:

I attach video with reproduce.

HTTP request:

## Appendix

#### Submissions over time

The timeline below shows submissions received and validated by the Bugcrowd team:



### Submissions signal

A total of **6** submissions were received, with **2** unique valid issues discovered. Bugcrowd identified **0** duplicate submissions and removed **4** invalid submissions. The ratio of unique valid submissions to noise was **33%**, which is lower than the average ratio of **37%** across Bugcrowd's other On-Demand programs.

Submission Outcome	Count
Valid	2
Invalid	4
Duplicate	0
Total	6



#### Bug types overview

A comparison of the distribution of submissions across bug types for the On-Demand program to that of Bugcrowd's other On-Demand programs is shown below.



December 17, 2020

Bugcrowd Inc. 921 Front St Suite 100 San Francisco, CA 94111

### Introduction

Between the dates of **12/08/2020 - 12/15/2020, Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program** engaged Bugcrowd Inc. to perform an On-Demand Bounty Program. During this time, **4** researchers from Bugcrowd submitted a total of **6** vulnerability submissions against **Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program's** targets. The purpose of this assessment was to identify security issues that could adversely affect the integrity of Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program. Testing focused on the following:

- 1. DIIA Android Application
- 2. DIIA iOS Application
- 3. DIIA API

The assessment was performed under the guidelines provided in the statement of work between **Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program** and Bugcrowd. This letter provides a high-level overview of the testing performed, and the result of that testing.

#### **On-Demand Program Overview**

An On-Demand Program is a novel approach to a penetration test. Traditional penetration tests use only one or two researchers to test an entire scope of work, while an On-Demand Program leverages a crowd of security researchers. This increases the probability of discovering esoteric issues that automated testing cannot find and that traditional vulnerability assessments may miss, in the same testing period.

It is important to note that this document represents a point-in-time evaluation of security posture. Security threats and attacker techniques evolve rapidly, and the results of this assessment are not intended to represent an endorsement of the adequacy of current security measures against future threats. This document contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only; it is not intended as a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgment. The information presented here should not be construed as professional advice or service.

### **Testing Methods**

This security assessment leveraged researchers that used a combination of proprietary, public, automated, and manual test techniques throughout the assessment. Commonly tested vulnerabilities include code injection, cross-site request forgery, cross-site scripting, insecure storage of sensitive data, authorization/authentication vulnerabilities, business logic vulnerabilities, and more.

#### **Summary of Findings**

During the program, Bugcrowd discovered the following:

Count	Technical Severity
0	Critical vulnerabilities
0	High vulnerabilities
0	Medium vulnerabilities
1	Low vulnerability
0	Informational findings

Upon completion of the assessment, all findings were reported to **Diia: Mobile Application Bug Bounty Program** along with all associated vulnerability data.